



ROI – Building The Case For Professional Services Automation

Robert D. Anderson, CPA Director Specialized Services Hitachi Consulting Kimberly McDonald Baker Vice President, Sales and Marketing Project Partners LLC







What is Professional Services Automation?

- Designed for Professional Services Organizations
- Automated, integrated capabilities to set-up, manage, control & report on client engagements
- Span includes
 - Initial opportunity identification
 - Proposal and planning processes
 - Staffing and executing the work
 - Collection of costs
 - Recognition of revenue
 - Invoicing the client
 - Knowledge management
 - Collaboration with internal team and client representatives.
- Single end-to-end, scalable system





Your Firm Is Thinking About PSA – Where Do You Start?

- Budgets are tight, this will be a significant expenditure of time and money. Will we get a return on this investment?
- What things do we focus on when looking at implementing PSA?
- I need a business case to present to the board. How do I approach creating this?
- Where do I turn for help?





Assess Your Firm

- Best place to start: examine where your firm is today
- PM skills are critical, so begin with understanding how this is measured and the importance to overall firm success
- Understand the 5 levels of Project Management Maturity





PM Level 5

Enterprise focus. Linked enterprise-wide tools. Feedback & process improvement used & rewarded. Best practices embedded. Cycle-time minimized. Enterprise structured to support PM.

PM Level 4

Processes, metrics & tools measured and controlled. Ability to capture and use lessons learned & best practices. Historical data available & used.

PM Level 3

Processes, tools and metrics are mature and widely understood, used and enforced. Ability to consistently detect and correct problems early.

PM Level 2

Standard processes, tools and metrics available, but inconsistently used, understood or enforced. Ability to detect some problems.

PM Level 1

Few standard processes, tools or metrics. Processes not predictable or controlled. Little ability to detect problems. Requires "heroics".







Third Party Studies

Bradford K. Clark, USC

A one-increment improvement in Project Maturity resulted in a 15% to 21% reduction in effort THE EFFECTS OF SOFTWARE PROCESS MATURITY

ON SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT EFFORT

by

Bradford K. Clark

A Dissertation Presented to the FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

(Computer Science)

August 1997

Version 1.0

Copyright 1997 Bradford K. Clark







Dr. William Ibbs University of California, Berkley

- Studied 50+ firms, some over 20 years, including prominent engineering and consulting firms
- Summary of findings
 - "The \$\$\$ Value of PM (Can good PM Cost Less?)"
 - Increased PM Maturity leads to:
 - Better cost and schedule management
 - Less expensive PM
 - Improved PM/ROI SM





Project

Cost









Berkeley Project Management Maturity Model

Project Maturity Comparison







Dr. Ibbs' Core Competency Definition

- Provides a benefit to customers
- Must be sustainable
- Continuously improved









Building the Business Case

- Appraisal of your firm today
 - DIY approach using tools such as OPM3 from PMI
 - External firms such as Ibbs Consulting
 - Consulting firms with PMP's familiar with professional service organizations







Examine Your Current Services P&L Projections

		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Services Reven	ue Growth Rate	Baseline	15.00%	20.00%	15.00%	15.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%
Net Sales - Serv	ices	50,000	57,500	69,000	79,350	91,253	100,378	110,416	121,457
Cost of Sales									
	Internal Billable (30%)	15.000	17.250	20,700	23.805	27.376	30.113	33.125	36.437
	Internal non-utilized (8%)	4,000	4,600	5,520	6,348	7,300	8,030	8,833	9,717
	Subcontractors (25%)	12,500	14,375	17,250	19,838	22,813	25,094	27,604	30,364
	Other (6%)	3,000	3,450	4,140	4,761	5,475	6,023	6,625	7,287
	Total Cost of Sales	34,500	39,675	47,610	54,752	62,964	69,261	76,187	83,805
Gross Margin Se	ervices	15,500	17,825	21,390	24,599	28,288	31,117	34,229	37,652
	Percent	31.0%	31.0%	31.0%	31.0%	31.0%	31.0%	31.0%	31.0%
GS&A									
	Service Operations Payroll	4,500	5,513	7,166	8,779	10,754	12,367	14,222	16,355
	Practice Overhead	2,500	2,688	2,956	3,178	3,416	3,587	3,766	3,955
	Sales Commission	4,185	4,813	5,775	6,642	7,638	8,402	9,242	10,166
	Total GS&A	11,185	13,013	15,898	18,598	21,808	24,356	27,230	30,476
Net Services Co	ntribution	4,315	4,812	5,492	6,000	6,480	6,761	6,999	7,176
	Percent	8.6%	8.4%	8.0%	7.6%	7.1%	6.7%	6.3%	5.9%

Vision Professional Services Projections







Four Success Measures

- Revenue Production
- Productivity Enhancement
- Risk Reduction
- Improved Cycle Times

Use the baseline assessment of your firm to identify the greatest weaknesses and pain points







Vision Professional Services

- High subcontractor use
- Low utilization of internal resources
- Struggle to be Level 1 project maturity
- Service Operations growing faster than sales







Services P&L Projections with Opportunities

		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Services Revenu	e Growth Rate	Baseline	15.00%	20.00%	15.00%	15.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%
Net Sales - Servi	ces	50,000	57,500	69,000	79,350	91,253	100,378	110,416	121,457
Cost of Sales									
	Internal Billable (30%)	15,000	17,250	20,700	23,805	27,376	30,113	33,125	36,437
	Internal non-utilized (8%)	4,000	4,600	5,520	6,348	7,300	8,030	8,833	9,717
	Subcontractors (25%)	12,500	14,375	17,250	19,838	22,813	25,094	27,604	30,364
	Other (6%)	3,000	3,450	4,140	4,761	5,475	6,023	6,625	7,287
	Improve Utilization		-	-	-	(46)	(100)	(110)	(121)
	Improve Effectiveness (internal)		-	-	(1,190)	(2,738)	(3,011)	(3,312)	(3,644)
	Subcontractor to Employee				(218)	(502)	(552)	(607)	(668)
	Total Cost of Sales	34,500	39,675	47,610	53,343	59,679	65,597	72,157	79,372
Gross Margin Se	ervices	15,500	17,825	21,390	26,007	31,573	34,781	38,259	42,085
	Percent	31.0%	31.0%	31.0%	32.8%	34.6%	34.7%	34.7%	34.7%
GS&A									
	Service Operations Payroll	4,780	6,400	8,320	10,192	12,485	14,358	16,512	18,988
	Practice Overhead	2,627	2,400	2,640	2,838	3,051	3,203	3,364	3,532
	Sales Commission	3,067	4,813	5,775	7,022	8,525	9,391	10,330	11,363
	Slow SO Payroll Growth		-	-	(75)	(158)	(244)	(335)	(431)
	Total GS&A	10,474	13,613	16,735	19,977	23,903	26,708	29,870	33,453
Net Services Con	ntribution	5,026	4,212	4,655	6,030	7,670	8,073	8,389	8,632
	Percent	10.1%	7.3%	6.7%	7.6%	8.4%	8.0%	7.6%	7.1%

Vision Professional Services Projections







Assumptions Behind Improvements

- Modest improvement in Service Operations
- Modest improvement in utilization of 1%
- Significant change in reducing the use of subcontractors and more employees
- Significant change in effectiveness of internal employees







Build Out Implementation Cost Estimates

- Consider the following:
 - Additional software and support costs
 - Training
 - Change Management
 - Development of interfaces, extensions and reports
 - Travel
 - Contingency







Build Your Roadmap

- In the Vision Professional Services example
 - Changes to existing HR, Procurement, Project Cost, Project Billing, OTL, iExpense required
 - Implementation of new Oracle Project
 Management and Project Resource Management
 modules
 - Multi-year, multi-project effort in a single high level roadmap





Assemble Project Return On Investment Summary

PROJEC	T RETURN	ON INVES	STMENT													
Project Be	nefits (Descri	be Above)			Year 0		Year 1		Year 2	Year 3		Year 4		Year 5		Total
Increa	sed GPM					\$	1,408,463	\$	3,285,090	\$ 3,663,788	\$	4,030,167	\$	4,433,183	\$	16,820,690
Decrea	ased Costs						75,000		157,500	244,125		335,081		430,585		1,242,292
Other							-		-	-		-		-		-
	Total Benefit	s				\$	1,483,463	\$	3,442,590	\$ 3,907,913	\$	4,365,248	\$	4,863,769	\$	18,062,982
Project Co	osts				Year 0		Year 1		Year 2	Year 3		Year 4		Year 5		Total
Initial I	nvestment			9	35,000	\$	112,000									147,000
Interna	al IT Implemen	tation Cost														
		Hours	Rate													
	PM	3,600	\$	75	135,000		135,000									270,000
	BA	7,500	\$	75	337,500		225,000									562,500
	Developer	2,200	\$	75	45,000		120,000									165,000
	DBA	400	\$	75	26,250		3,750									30,000
	Other	-	\$	75	-		-									-
	Total Hrs	13,700														
	Total Internal	IT Implemen	tion Cost		543,750		483,750									1,027,500
Outsid	le Consulting				621,000		460,000									1,081,000
Trainir	ng - Core Team	n			45,000		45,000									90,000
Trainir	ng - Organizatio	onal Develop	ment		30,000		40,000									
New S	oftware Suppo	rt Costs			-		12,000		42,000	42,000		42,000		42,000		180,000
New I	F Help Desk/B/	A Support					50,000		100,000	105,000		110,250		115,763		481,013
Patch/	Upgrade IT Int	ernal Cost							100,000	105,000		110,250		115,763		431,013
Other																-
Contin	gency				123,975		109,075		24,200	25,200		26,250		27,353		336,053
	Total IT Cost			_	1,398,725		1,311,825		266,200	277,200		288,750		300,878		3,773,578
Busine	ess Implementa	ation Cost		_												
		Hours	Rate	_												
	Bus PM	4,000	\$.	50	100,000		100,000									200,000
	Bus PA	4,000	\$	50	100,000		100,000									200,000
	Accounting	900	\$	50	25,000		20,000									45,000
	SME	3,800	\$	50	130,000		60,000									190,000
	Total Hrs	12,700														
Other					90,000		90,000									180,000
Patch/	Upgrade Busir	ess Internal	Cost						50,000	52,500		55,125		57,881		215,506
Contin	gency				44,500		37,000		5,000	5,250		5,513		5,788		103,051
	Total Busine	ss Cost			489,500		407,000		55,000	57,750		60,638		63,669		1,133,557
	Total Project Costs			1	5 1,888,225	\$	1,718,825	\$	321,200	\$ 334,950	\$	349,388	\$	364,547	\$	4,907,134
Vat Duality	Cash Flam				Veer 0		Veerd		Veer 0	Veer 2		Veer		Veer F		Tetel
let Project Cash Flows			Year 0	¢	Year 1	¢	Year 2	Year 3	¢	Year 4		Year 5	¢	10tal		
	Net Cash Flo	ws			0 (1,888,225)	þ	(235,363)	\$	3,121,390	\$ 3,572,963	\$	4,015,860	ţ\$	4,499,222	\$	13,085,848
									1							

1.) Total Initial Project Costs	\$ 1,888,225
2.) Cost of Capital	15%
Net Present Value	\$6,217,045
3.) Internal Rate of Return	85%





Opportunity Still Exists

- In this example, a modest productivity enhancement drove the numbers
- Additional opportunities still exist in
 - Revenue Production
 - Productivity Enhancement
 - Risk Reduction
 - Improved Cycle Times







Leverage Oracle Project Management KPI's

- Key Performance Indicators assist in continuous process improvement
- Real-time monitoring maximizes performance over shortest time period
- Be SMART in use of these tools
 - <u>Specific</u> <u>Measurable</u> <u>Achievable</u> <u>Realistic</u> Timely







Project List Screen KPA Indicators

O	RACLE	Projects					
			Diagno	ostics Home Logout Prefe	rences Help F	ersonalize P	age
Projec	t List						
TIP	Unless specified otherw	ise, all amounts are in Project Functional	Currency, Global Calendar (Ac	ccounting (Month)) Actions	Create Projec	t N	Go
				/ cuono	create rrojec		
View	s N						
	45					Simple 9	Search
View	Key Performance Are	as Status 💙 🛛 Go Personalize					
Selec	t Projects: Add to	Project Set Export			O Previous	1-25 💉 👖	<u>Vext 25</u> ③
Select	All Select None		1	1			
Select	Project Number	Project Name	Organization	Project Manager	Financial	Schedule	Health
	ABC	ABC-Financials Implementation	Services-East				
	ABCHR101	ABC HR Implementation	Services-East	Hamilton, Ms. Anne			
	<u>B100</u>	Building 100 Construction	Services-Construction	Marlin, Ms. Amy			
	<u>CP101</u>	Cost Plus	Services-West	Marlin, Ms. Amy		\checkmark	
	Construction Design	Construction with Retainage	Services-East			\checkmark	
	Cost Reimbursable	Cost Reimbursable	Services-East	Hamilton, Ms. Anne		\checkmark	
	E&C-100	Engineering + Construction-100	Services-Construction	Marlin, Ms. Amy		×	
	FP101	Fixed Price	Services-East	Jameson, Ms. Marcia			
	Feasibility Study	Feasibility Study-Imaging	Services-East	Cochran, Mr. Bob	×	8	\bigcirc
	Global Solution	Global Solution	Services-East	Hamilton, Ms. Anne		\checkmark	
	OKE Construction	OKE Construction	Services-East	Heather, Ms. Emily		\bigcirc	
	OKE Services	OKE Services	Services-East				
	<u>Overhead</u>	<u>Overhead</u>	Executive Office	<u>Kim, Sandy</u>			





Enable Key Performance Areas

O	Projects: Key Pe	rforma	nce Areas Lookup	s					_	
6		Туре	PA_PERF_KEY	_AREAS			 Acces Use 			
	Mea	aning	Key Performanc	e Areas			⊖ Evte	oncihlo		
	Applic	ation	Projects					tom		
	Descri	ption	Key Performanc	e Areas			voys	tem		
						— Effective I	Dates		Enable	əd
	Code	Mean	ing	Description	Tag	From		То]]
	KPA_1	Finand	cial	Exceptions for key finan	2	21-MAR-20	04			A.
	KPA_2	Sched	lule	Exceptions for key sche	3	21-MAR-20	04			
Ū	KPA_3	Health	ı	Exceptions for key mea	4	21-MAR-20	04			
Ī	KPA_4	Key P	erformance Area		5	21-MAR-20	04			
Ī	KPA_5	Key P	erformance Area		6	21-MAR-20	04]8] -
Ī]8 -
Ī										
Ū										Ŀ







Enable Performance Indicators

Projects: Perfori			ips						- 🗆 🗙
Type PA_PERF_INDICATORS Meaning Performance Indicators Application Projects Description Performance Indicators							ss Level er tensible stem		
	- Effecti							Enab	led
Code	Mean	ing	Description	Tag	From		То		[]_
INDICATOR_1	Critica	al	Critical	1	21-MAR-3	2004			A
INDICATOR_2	Very 3	Severe	Very Severe	2	21-MAR-3	2004]		
INDICATOR_3	Some	what Severe	Somewhat Severe	3	21-MAR-2	21-MAR-2004			
INDICATOR_4	At Ris	sk	At Risk	4	21-MAR-3	2004			
INDICATOR_5	On Tr	ack	On Track	5	21-MAR-3	2004			
Ĩ									
Ĭ									
Ĭ									JJ





Create Scoring Rules

ORACLE	Projects	Diagnostics Home Lo	gout Preference	s Help Perso	nalize Pa	age
Key Performance Area	Scoring Rules					
				Creat	e Scoring	g Rule
Search						
Note that the search is cas Key Performance Area Go C	e insensitive All 💙	Scoring Rule	۹			
Key Performance Area	Scoring Rule	Description	Effective From	Effective To	Update	Delete
Financial	Financial KPA	Used for contract type projects	25-May-2000		0	Î
Health	Health - KPA	Use for contract project type	25-May-2000		0	Î
Schedule	Schedule KPA	Used for contract project types	25-May-2000		1	n

Create Scoring Rule

Diagnostics Home Logout Preferences Help Personalize Page

Copyright (c) 2006, Oracle. All rights reserved.







Define KPA Threshold - Financial

			Diagnostics	Home Lo	ogout Pref	erences	Help F	Personalize Page
Key Performance	e Area Scor	ing Rules >						
Update Key Pe	rformance	Area Scoring	g Rule					
* Indicates requ	ired field							Cancel Apply
Key Perform	nance Area	Financial 🚩						
	* Name	Financial KPA	la la					
	Description	Used for con	tract type proj	ects				
	Precision	0.1 💙						
* Effe	ctive From	25-May-2000)					
	ffeeting Te	(example: 27-Feb	-2008)					
E	frective 10							
Threshold Lev	/els							
		Threshok	l Range					
Level Number		From		То	Status In	dicator		
1		0		150	On Track	*		
2		151		225	At Risk	~		
3		226		450	Critical	~		
4					On Track	*		
5					On Track	*		







Define KPA Threshold - Health

ORACI	LE.	Projects	Diagnostics	Home L	.ogout F	Preferences	s Help	Personaliz	e Page
Key Performance A	rea Scor	ing Rules >							
Update Key Perfo	rmance	Area Scoring	Rule						
* Indicates require	d field							Cancel	Apply
Key Performan	ice Area	Health 🖌							
	* Name	Health - KPA							
Des	scription	Use for contra	act project typ)e					
P	recision	1 🗸							
* ====	- E	25 Mary 2000							
Effectiv	ve From	25-May-2000 (evample: 27-Eeb	-2008)						
Effe	ctive To								
Threshold Level	s								
		Threshold	Range						
Level Number		From		Т	o Statu	s Indicato	r		
1		0		150	On Tr	ack 💌			
2		151		300	At Ris	k 😽			
3		301		1000) Critica	il 💌			
1					On Tr	ack 💌			
4									



Apply

Cancel





Define KPA Threshold - Schedule

ORACLE	Projects	Diagnostics	Home	Logou	t Prefe	erences	Help	Personalize Page
Key Performance Area Sc	oring Rules. >							
Undate Key Performan	e Area Scoring	Rule						
* Indicates required field		g						Cancel Apply
Key Performance Are	a Schedule 💙							
* Nam	e Schedule KP/	A						
Descriptio	n Used for cont	tract project ty	pes					
Precisio	n 1 🗸	, ,						
* Effective Free	25 Mark 2000							
Ellective From	(example: 27-Feb	-2008)						
Effective T	0							
Threshold Levels								
	Threshold	Range					R .	
Level Number	From	5		To Sta	atus In	dicator	•	
1	20		1	00 On	n Track	*		
2	101		1	50 At	Risk	*		
3	151		3	00 Cr	itical	*		
4				Or	Track	*		
5				Or	Track	*		
TIP Define atleast one t	hreshold level fo	r the scoring r	ule.					
								Cancel Apply







Create Performance Rules

ORAC	LE [®] Projects	and the second sec	Diagnostics Home L	.ogout Prefe	rences Help	Personaliz	e Page	
Performance Ru	iles 📡							
	890				(Create Per	formance	e Rule
Search								
Note that the sear Key Performan	rch is case insensitive Ince Area All Measure Go Clear	Performance Rule						
Key Performand Area	e Performance Rule	Description	Measure	Period Type	Effective From	Effective To	Update	Delete
Financial	At Risk Revenue	At Risk Revenue Management	ITD Revenue at Risk	GL Calendar	25-May-2000)	1	1
Financial	Cost Performance Index (CPI)	The cost efficiency factor representing the relationship between the actual costs expended and the value of the physical work performed	ITD Cost Performance Index	Global Calendar	25-May-2000)	1	Î
Financial	Cost Variance (CV)	Cost variance is the difference between the budgeted and actual cost of work performed - BCWP less ACWP.	ITD Cost Variance	Global Calendar	25-May-2000)	1	Î
Financial	Forecast Cost Variance %	Forecast cost variance is the difference between the forecast and actual cost of work performed.	ITD Forecast Cost Variance %	Global Calendar	25-May-2000)	1	Î
Financial	Nonbillable Cost % of Total Cost	Percentage of Nonbillable Costs against Total Cost	ITD Nonbillable Cost % of Total Cost	Global Calendar	25-May-2000)	1	Î
Financial	Percent Complete	% Complete is a measure of performance based on the actual amount of a particular measure used to date and the estimated amount necessary to complete the task or project.	ITD % Complete	Global Calendar	25-May-2000)	1	Î
Financial	Percent Money Spent	% Spent is a measure of performance based on the actual amount of a particular measure used to date and the amount that was originally planned for consumption.	ITD % Spent	Global Calendar	25-May-2000)	1	Î
Health	ITD Margin % Variance	ITD Margin % Variance	ITD Margin % Variance	Global Calendar	25-May-2000)	1	Û
Health	ITD Outstanding Receivables	ITD Outstanding Receivables	ITD Outstanding Receivables	Global Calendar	25-May-2000)	1	Î
Schedule	Schedule Performance Index (SPI)	The ratio of work performed to work scheduled (BCWP/BCWS).	ITD Schedule Performance Index	Global Calendar	25-May-2000)	1	Î
Schedule	Schedule-Baseline Finish Variance	Schedule-Baseline Finish Variance	Schedule-Baseline Finish Variance	Global Calendar	25-May-2000)	1	Î
Schedule	Schedule-Estimated Finish Variance	Schedule-Estimated Finish Variance	Schedule-Estimated Finish Variance	Global Calendar	25-May-2005	ī	1	Î
Schedule	Schedule-Prior Published Version Finish Variance	Schedule-Prior Published Version Finish Variance	Schedule-Prior Published Version Finish Variance	Global Calendar	25-May-2000)	0	Î







Creating Performance Rules

- Use Oracle provided pre-defined measures
- Create your own rules using custom defined measures for your specific needs









Predefined Measures

sarch and Select	: Measure	Cancel Select
Search		
To find your itenों, button,	select a filter item in the pu	Ildown list and enter a value in the text field, then select the "Go"
Search By Measu	'e 🗸	Go
Poculto		
Results		O Provinue 1 10 M Novt 10 O
elect Ouick S	elect Measure	S Previous 1-10 Mext 10 S
0	Current Forcast To	o Prior Forcast Workplan Effort Variance
0	Forecast Workplan	n Effort Variance
0	ITD % Complete	
0	ITD % Spent	
0	ITD % Spent Effor	t
0	ITD % Spent Equip	oment Effort
0	ITD % Spent Peop	le Effort
	TTD Accrued Reve	nue
0	IID ACCIDED REVEN	
	ITD Activity Calcula	ated Custom Measure 1
	ITD Activity Calcula ITD Activity Calcula	ated Custom Measure 1 ated Custom Measure 10





At Risk Revenue

	lules >							
pdate Perfo	rmance Rule							
Indicates req	quired field						Cancel	Apply
Key Perfor	rmance Area	Financial 🗸	•					
	* Name	At Risk Reve	nue					
	Description	At Risk Reve	nue Management					
	* Measure	ITD Revenue	e at Risk	Q				
	Period Type	GL Calendar	*					
	Precision	1 💙						
* Eff	Precision fective From	1 ¥ 25-May-200	0					
* Eff	Precision fective From	1 25-May-200 (example: 27-Fe	0 IIII					
* Efi	Precision fective From Effective To	1 25-May-2000 (example: 27-Fe	0					
* Efi	Precision fective From Effective To evels	1 25-May-200 (example: 27-Fe	0 () b-2008)					
* Efi Threshold Le Level	Precision fective From Effective To evels	1 Y 25-May-2000 (example: 27-Fe	0	Status		Report as		
* Efi Threshold Le Level Number	Precision ffective From Effective To evels	1 Y 25-May-200 (example: 27-Fe Threshold Ra From	0 💼 6-2008) ange To	Status Indicator		Report as Exception		Weighting
* Efi Threshold Le Level Number 1	Precision ffective From Effective To evels	1 25-May-200 (example: 27-Fe Threshold Ra From 9999999	0 () b-2008) ange To 0	Status Indicator On Track	~	Report as Exception	1	Weighting 10
* Eff	Precision fective From Effective To evels -9999	1 25-May-200 (example: 27-Fe Threshold R: From 99999999 1	0	Status Indicator On Track At Risk	~	Report as Exception		Weighting 10 50
* Efi Threshold Le Number 1 2 3	Precision ffective From Effective To evels	1 25-May-200 (example: 27-Fe Threshold Ra From 99999999 1 1001	0 IIII b-2008) IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Status Indicator On Track At Risk Critical	*	Report as Exception		Weighting 10 50 90
* Eff	Precision fective From Effective To evels	1 25-May-200 (example: 27-Fe Threshold Ra From 9999999 1 1001	0	Status Indicator On Track At Risk Critical On Track		Report as Exception		Weighting 10 50 90







ITD Outstanding Receivables

OR/	ACLE.	Projects	Diagnostics Home	e Logout Prefe	rences Help F	Personalize Page					
Performance	e Rules >										
Update Per	formance Rule	2									
* Indicates	required field					Cancel Apply					
Key Per	formance Area	Health 💌	•	6							
	* Name	ITD Outstan									
	Description	ITD Outstan	ding Receivables								
6	* Measure	ITD Outstan	ding Receivables								
	Period Type	Period Type Global Calendar 💙									
	Precision	1 💙									
*	Effective From	25-May-200	0								
	Effective To	(example: 27-Fe	Ь-2008)								
Threshold	l Levels										
Level Number		Threshold Ra	ange To	Status Indicator	Report as Exception	Weighting					
1		0	1000	On Track 💙	 Image: A start of the start of	10					
2		1001	50000	At Risk 💌		50					
3		50001	100000	Critical 💌		75					
4				On Track 💌							
		11			1000	2					

Cancel Apply







Estimated Finish Variance

ORA	CLE.	Project	s Diagnostics	Home	Logout Pr	eferences Help	Personalize Page		
Performance	Rules >								
Update Perf	formance Rule	2							
* Indicates re	equired field						Cancel Apply		
Key Perf	ormance Area	Schedule							
	* Name Schedule-Estimated Finish Variance								
	Description	Schedule-E	stimated Finish	Varian	ce				
	* Measure	Schedule-E	stimated Finish	Varian	De 🔍				
	Period Type	Global Cale	ndar 💙						
	Precision	1 🛩							
*	Effective From	25-May-200	5						
		(example: 27-Fe	eb-2008)						
	Effective To								
Threshold	Levels								
Level		Threshold R	ange		Status	Report as			
Number		From		То	Indicator	Exception	Weighting		
1		0		10	On Track 💌		10		
2		11		20	At Risk 💌		50		
3		21		10000	Critical		75		
4					On Track 💌				
5					On Track 😽				

TIP Define atleast one threshold level for the performance rule.

home of the OAUG KNOWledge Factory

Apply

Cancel







Schedule Performance Index

DRA	CLE	Projects	Diagnostics Home	e Logout Prefe	rences Help Pers	onalize Page
erformance F	Rules >					
pdate Perfo	rmance Rule	2				
Indicates re	quired field				Car	nce <u>l</u> Apply
Key Perfo	rmance Area	Schedule 🕙	•			
	* Name	Schedule Pe	erformance Index (SP	I)		
	Description	The ratio of	work performed to w	ork scheduled (E	BCWP/BCWS).	
	* Measure	ITD Schedu	e Performance Index	0		
	Period Type	Global Cale	ndar 💙			
	Precision	0.01 🗸				
* Ef	ffective From	25-May-200	0			
		(example: 27-Fe	b-2008)			
	Effective To					
Threshold L	evels					
Level Number	1	Threshold R: From	ange To	Status Indicator	Report as Exception	Weightin
1		-99999	0.95	Critical 💌		75
2		0.96	0.99	At Risk 💌		50
3		1	9999	On Track 💙		10
4				On Track 👻		
				On Track		



Apply

Cancel





KPA's In Project Opening Page

oject Resources Workplan Control	Financial Repor	ting s Setun					Project L	ist Diagnos	tics Home I	.ogout Pr	eferences Help Personalize Page
ome: Feasibility Study-Imaging (Feasibility	Study)	en l'annabre									
การการสาวารสาวสาวารสาวารสาวารสาวารสาวารส	11 2.23								Shortc	uts	Project
Organization Services-East Project Type Cost Plus Status Active eneral Tasks And Deliverables Chang Key Performance Area Summary Werall Performance Status (*)	Project N Sta Fini Je Control Perform	fanager <u>Cochran</u> art Date 01-Jan - sh Date 31-Dec nance	. <u>Mr. Bob</u> 2000 2008								Refresh Key Performance Are
		ľ	Score Threshol	d	Critica	l 👘	At Ris	k	On Trac	k	
Key Performance Area 🔺	Status	Score	From	То	Count	Score	Count	Score	Count	Score	Last Recorded Date
nancial	8	251	226	450	1	90	1	151	1	10	01-Aug-2006 12:13:48
		75	0	150	1	75	0	0	0	0	01-400-2006 12:12:49
ealth		/5	0	150	1	1.5	≤		2		01 Aug 2000 12.15.40

± Show Status Indicator Keys







KPA's In Project Performance Reporting Screens

Project Feasibility Study-Imaging (Feasibility Study)	Project List Diagnostics Home Logout Preferences Help Personalize Page
Project Resources Workplan Control Financial Reporting	
Performance Exceptions Status Reports Setup	
Performance Overview	
	Printable Page View Task Summary Y Go
Show Details and Parameters	
Cumulative Margin Percent	Cumulative Actual Cost
Budget Margin Percent Forecast Margin Percent	Budget Cost Total Cost Forecast Cost 24,000,000 20,000,000 16,000,000 12,000,000 4,000,000 0 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006

Financial Performance

Export									
	At Co		Period To Date		Inception To Date				
Indicator	Budget	Forecast	Variance	Budget	Actual	Variance	Budget	Actual	Variano
Revenue	27,175,400.00			0.00	321,754.41		27,175,400.00	23,525,282.68	-13.439
Cost	19,756,500.00			0.00	272,803.82		19,756,500.00	22,550,761.83	14.14%
Margin	7,418,900.00			0.00	48,950.59		7,418,900.00	974,520.85	-86.86%
Margin %	27.3%				15.21%		27.3%	4.14%	× -23.1
People Effort	0			0	64		0	5574	







Setup KPA's To Be Used

Project Feasibility St	oject Feasibility Study-Imaging (Feasibility Study)					Project List Diagnostics Home Log
Project Refource	es Work	plan Co	ontrol	Financial	Reporting	
Performance T Ex	ceptions	Status R	Reports	Setup		
Reporting: Setup >						
Key Performance	Area Scori	ng Rules	and Not	fication		
						Cance! Apply
Key Performance	Area Sco	ring Rule	s			
To enable status re performance area.	porting for	overall pro	oject per	formance e	xceptions, se	elect a scoring rule for each key
Key Performance	Area Scori	ing Rules				
Financial	Finar	ncial KPA			9	
Schedule	Sche	dule KPA	•		9	
Health	Healt	h - KPA] 🔍	
Notification						
To enable reporting icon.	g of exception	ons to spe	cific recip	oients, seleo	ct a report ty	pe and choose the notification Recipients
Report Type			Notifica	tion Recip	ients	
		9		9		
						Cancel Apply







Select Page Layout For Project

	ORACLE® Projects oject Feasibility Study-Imaging (Feasibility Study)								Projec List	t Dia	agnostics	Home	Log
Project Re	esources	Workplan	Cont	trol Fina	cial	Re	oorting						
Performance	Exceptio	ns Statu	is Rep	orts §	tup								
Reporting: Se	etup >												
Performanc	e Page Layo	outs											-
									Cance	Į	Save	Арр	ły
Page Type	Layout			Preview									
Period Analysis	Projects: Re	porting: Defi	Q	pq									
Period Summary	Projects: Re	porting: Defi	٩	pq									
Performance Overview	Projects: Re	porting: Defi	٩	pq									
PTD Analysis	Projects: Re	porting: Defi	0	pq									
PTD Summary	Projects: Re	porting: Defi	٩	pa									
Resource Analysis	Projects: Re	porting: Defi	Q	DG									
Resource Summary	Projects: Re	porting: Defi	Q	pq									
Task Analysis	Projects: Re	porting: Defi	9	pq									
Task Summary	Projects: Re	porting: Defi	Q	pa									







Manual and Automatic Reports

Create Report Type												
Name 🔺	Description	Generation Method	Page Layout	Effective From	Effective To	Update	Delete					
Customer	Use only for customer status reports	Manual	Customer Status Report Layout	01-Jan-2000		1	Î					
<u>Default</u> <u>Performance</u> <u>Status Report</u>	Seeded Report Type for Performance Status sent through email	Automatic	<u>Default</u> <u>Performance</u> <u>Status Page</u> <u>Layout</u>	10-Jun-2004		1	Î					
<u>Default Status</u> <u>Report</u>	Default Status Report	Manual	<u>Default Project</u> <u>Status Report</u> Page Layout	01-Jan-1950		1	Û					
<u>Healthcheck</u>	Use for Health check reporting only	Manual	Healthcheck Status Report Layout	01-Jan-2000		1	Û					
<u>Internal</u> Management	Use for Internal Management review boards	Manual	<u>Internal</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Status Report</u> <u>Layout</u>	01-Jan-2000		1	Û					
<u>Team</u>	Use for communicating the current status to the project team	Manual	<u>Team Report</u> <u>Layout</u>	01-Jan-2000		1	Û					





Report Details – Allow Override

ORACLE[®] Projects

Diagnostics Home Logout Preferences Help Personalize Page

Report Types >

Report Type Details

Name Healthcheck
Description Use for Health check reporting only

Generation Method Manual Page Layout Healthcheck Status Report Layout ✓ Allow Status Report Page Layout Override on Project Level Effective From Effective To

Return to Report Types







Example Client A

- Several diverse business groups
- Conducted web based survey in advance
 - Some divisions were high maturity, others with semi-skilled project managers
- Scaled back features and functionality for rudimentary groups
- Focused advanced functionality on those in a position to leverage it effectively
- Held down overall cost of implementation while aligning with user community needs







Example Client B

- No formal assessment; project sponsors aware of limited PM capability in initial pilot group:
 - Small teams with few tools, limited staff, small repetitive projects
- Not in scope: advanced PM group with large, long duration projects, wanting advanced functionality – now!
- Sponsors resisted scope creep, so pilot was successful
- Advanced user group accelerated start of their own project





Example Client C

- Conducted detailed formal assessment
- Project included multiple continents, teams with widely ranging skills and environments
 - Europe: group & market mature, highly skilled and experienced project managers, smaller projects
 - China: rapidly growing market, large teams and projects, limited skills and experience
- Training programs, employee evaluation and transfers insured better match of skills and abilities to positions needed
- 5 years later: strongest PM practices were in China, result of comprehensive approach







Conclusion

- Tools assist an organization in introducing standards and common practices, provide visibility into and measure performance
- Baseline and periodic new assessments provide objective measure of impact
- Continuous improvement is true basis of successful PSA efforts
- Successful PSA will provide a strong ROI







Questions? Answers!









For more information

Robert Anderson Director Specialized Services Hitachi Consulting 720.258.0315 banderson@hitachiconsulting.com Kimberly Baker VP Sales & Marketing Project Partners LLC 734-354-9066 kbaker@projectp.com